Part 1 is the Advocacy - The plan is to have developing nations use sustainable farming techniques that prioritizes the environment **Gates[[1]](#footnote-1)**

**We are focused …**  grant development process

Part 2 is the Offense -

Advantage 1 – is Deforestation

Empirics show, sustainable agricultural and the grant incentive provided behind the plan are key to stopping deforestation **Caviglia-Harris 03[[2]](#footnote-2)**

that **there is …**  and similar settlements.

Deforestation exposes us to deadly diseases we are not resistant to **Butler 06[[3]](#footnote-3)**

**Many emergent and …** temperate **developed countries.**

Advantage 2 – is Water Scarcity

Water Scarcity on the rise **Wenzlau 13[[4]](#footnote-4)**

The South Centre …**of the same.**

Sustainable Agriculture solves **Wenzlau 2[[5]](#footnote-5)**

Increasing efficiency in … nearly 60 percent.

Water scarcity causes extinction. **Reilly 2**[[6]](#footnote-6)

The two … **increase in intensity**.

And, Nile water wars are coming in the status quo. **Rotberg 10** writes[[7]](#footnote-7)

NATIONS FIGHT over … **a war over water**.

Africa war causes great wars. **Duetsch 02** writes[[8]](#footnote-8)

The Rabid Tiger … to go fishing.

Part 3 is the Ethical Framework –

**First,** Epistemology comes first

Belief and experience are necessarily intertwined – this makes experiential reason inevitable. **Blackburn**[[9]](#footnote-9)

What is true … of concern and care.

Thus, look to utilitarianism because humans experience pain as bad and pleasure as good. **Nagel**[[10]](#footnote-10)

**When the** objective **…** badness of my pain.

And, utilitarianism is the only epistemologically stable ethical theory – we have no means towards knowledge outside of our sensory experiences. Mills[[11]](#footnote-11)

**Questions about ends … of all persons.**

**Second**, is no act omission distinction in context of governments **Sunstein &** **Vermule[[12]](#footnote-12)**

In our view, … fully discourage it.

The lack of an act-omission distinction necessitates util. The nature of the government means that it must minimize side constraint violations so some common good must be maximized.

**Third**, Maximizing life comes prior to any other ethical evaluation. **Rasmussen**[[13]](#footnote-13)

In so far as one … makes valuation possible.

**Fourth,** Utilitarianism is the only epistemically accessible theory to governments. **Goodin[[14]](#footnote-14)**

Consider, first, the …various possible **choices**.

The standard is **maximizing expected well-being**

**Feldman[[15]](#footnote-15)** clarifies the standard.

Reflection on cases … the subjectivist consequentialist.

Part 4 is the Theoretical Framing –

Neg burden is to defend offense to a competitive advocacy. Offense-defense is key to fairness and real world education. This means ignore skepticism. **Nelson 08** writes[[16]](#footnote-16)

And **the truth-…**us and the world we live in.

Truth-testing devolves into offense-defense. The words in the topic prove it’s a question of offense-defense. Two reasons:

1. “Resolved” means “firmly determined to **do** something,”[[17]](#footnote-17) so “Resolved” statements are always questions of what to do, not what to believe. Therefore, negating the truth of a resolved statement means proving that we shouldn’t do that action.

2. Oxford Dictionary defines[[18]](#footnote-18) “Affirm” as

**declare one’s support for**; uphold **or defend**:the referendum affirmed the republic’s right to secede
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